

54 So.3d 1038, 36 Fla. L. Weekly D306
(Cite as: **54 So.3d 1038**)

H

District Court of Appeal of Florida,
Fourth District.
Steven RAMOS and Julie Andersen, on behalf of Gia Ramos, a minor, Appellants,
v.

Melanie COOMBS, M.D.; South Florida Pediatric Partners, L.L.C., a Florida Limited Liability Corporation; Nicole Mark, M.D., and Alan Furia, M.D., Appellees.

No. 4D09–3123.
Feb. 9, 2011.

Appeal from the Circuit Court for the Seventeenth Judicial Circuit, Broward County; [Richard D. Eade](#), Judge; L.T. Case No. 05–007394 CACE (05).

[Lauri Waldman Ross](#) and [Theresa L. Girten](#) of Ross & Girten, Miami, and [Gary Alan Friedman](#) of Friedman & Friedman, *1039 P.A., Coral Gables, for appellant Julie Andersen, on behalf of Gia Ramos.

[Jeffrey S. Badgley](#) of the Badgley Law Group, Orlando, for appellees Melanie Coombs, M.D., and South Florida Pediatric Partners, L.L.C.

PER CURIAM.

The appellants, the plaintiffs below, appeal an order granting a new trial as to the issues of causation and damages in a medical malpractice case.

With respect to that portion of the trial court's order pertaining to damages, we relinquish jurisdiction and remand to the trial court for the purpose of compliance with [Florida Rule of Civil Procedure 1.530\(f\)](#), which provides as follows:

(f) Order Granting to Specify Grounds.

All orders granting a new trial shall specify the specific grounds therefor. If such an order is appealed and does not state the specific grounds, the appellate court shall relinquish its jurisdiction to the trial court for entry of an order specifying the grounds for granting the new trial.

We conclude that the reasons offered by the trial court justifying its decision to grant a new trial as to all damage issues are insufficient in light of the rule's requirement that the order “specify the specific grounds therefor.” See [Prime Motor Inns, Inc. v. Waltman](#), 480 So.2d 88, 89 (Fla.1985). Additionally, the trial court shall determine whether the appellees, the defendants below, are entitled to a new trial in which all damage issues will be considered, or in which only the issue of future medical damages will be addressed. See [ITT Hartford Ins. Co. v. Owens](#), 816 So.2d 572, 577–79 (Fla.2002).

The matter is thus remanded to the trial court for further proceedings in accordance with the above cited authorities. Upon completion of these further proceedings, either party may give notice to this court as to the necessity for a resumption of jurisdiction.

Remanded to the trial court for further proceedings consistent with this opinion.

[POLEN](#), [CIKLIN](#) and [LEVINE](#), JJ., concur.

Fla.App. 4 Dist., 2011.
Ramos v. Coombs
54 So.3d 1038, 36 Fla. L. Weekly D306

END OF DOCUMENT